

reference in the *chavadi*. By this arrangement the fraud now played on innocent persons will be prevented.

NOTE.—Mr. Malali Venkataramaiya and others spoke against the proposal.

Reply of Government.—Unnecessary.

SUBJECT No. 57.

Exemption of agricultural leases of Rs. 100 from registration.

Mr. Syed Mohadin Sab, Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Agricultural leases for five years are exempt from registration when the value of such lease is rupees fifty and under. The exemption may be extended to leases up to Rs. 100.

Reply of Government.—Cannot be done.

SUBJECT No. 58.

The Criminal Investigation Centre Scheme.

Messrs. M. Subba Rao, Heggadevankote Taluk; and Gundappa, Nagamangala Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—By the introduction of the Criminal Investigation Centre Scheme, the investigating officers have been reduced in number and the area over which they have jurisdiction has been increased, with the result that offences are not promptly detected and investigated successfully. The scheme may be modified.

The reduction of the number of constables in out-stations under the Investigation Centre Scheme is not conducive to the reduction of crimes. Their number may be increased.

Reply of Government.—Such minor defects of the scheme should be represented to the Deputy Commissioner.

SUBJECT No. 59.

Establishment of a detective police.

(1) Messrs. C. Vaman Rao and N. Subba Rao, Nagar Taluk.

(2) Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao, Nagar Sabha.

Summary of discussion.—(1) The present police establishment has not only to attend to the daily routine business of guarding the public peace, but also to investigate criminal cases. As several such cases are not consequently successfully investigated, it is prayed that a detective police may be entertained.

(2) It is very convenient to have a Police Inspector for each district in touch with the work of detection throughout the district. The Superintendent of Police has no time to attend to every detail. To have an Inspector working under his orders and in communication with the various stations, etc., in the district will be useful.

Reply of Government.—A separate Criminal Investigation Department has been formed to investigate organized crime. The Head-Quarter Inspector of each district does the duties of a special detective officer.

SUBJECT No. 60.

Re-introduction of night watch system in Unions.

Mr. Lakshminaranappa, Heggadevankote Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It is prayed that in municipal towns since converted into unions, the inhabitants be compelled to keep watch during nights by turns in accordance with the late Chief Commissioner's Circular No. 20 of 1879.

Reply of Government.—Night watch is in force in unions. Specific cases where the system is not in force

may be brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner.

SUBJECT No. 61.

Improving the police force.

Messrs. M. Venkatakrishnaiya,
Madappa and N Srikantaiya,
Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of discussion.—The lower branches of the police department are manned by men, many of whom are illiterate and fear neither God nor man. The pay of the police force may be raised so that it may attract better men. Having thus improved their material condition, they may be severely punished if they are found guilty of offences against the life, liberty and honour of the people.

Mr. Ramanna—*Thotis* and *talaris* may be encouraged and more largely employed in detection work.

Reply of Government.—The question of increasing the pay of the police is under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 62.

Exemption from the Arms
Regulation.

(a) Messrs. Dasappa and Chow-
daiya, Arsikere Taluk; A. Ven-
katachar, Hole-Narsipur Taluk.

(b) Mr. V. Raghavachar, Mysore
Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—(a) Hobli *shekdars* may be permitted to possess guns without a license, as Excise Inspectors and Foresters now do.

(b) Under the Arms Act, *patels* of *inam* villages are exempted from taking out licenses for carrying arms but the *inamdars* of entire villages themselves are not exempted. This anomaly may be rectified.

Reply of Government.—(a) Not necessary.

(b) *Inamdars* cannot be exempted as a class.

REVENUE.

(Nos. 63 to 124.)

SUBJECT No. 63.

Remission of notice fees.

(1) Messrs. Chikramanna, Byre-
gowda, and Doddavembraiah,
Kolar Taluk; Malali Venkata-
ramaiya, Manjarabad Taluk;
C. Vaman Rao and Subba Rao,
Nagar Taluk.

(2) Messrs. Venkatasamiah,
Chamrajnagar Taluk; and
Lingegowda, Mysore District
Board.

Summary of discussion.—(1) Amildars may be empowered to remit notice fees in deserving cases.

(2) If a person makes default in the payment of the first instalment of the *kandayam*, the notice fee is levied on the full demand. The fee may be remitted when the full amount is tendered after the issue of demand notice.

Reply of Government.—No rule can be passed to the effect that the fee should be remitted in all cases in which the full amount is paid soon after the issue of the demand notice. Each case has to be dealt with on its merits. The question of empowering Amildars to sanction the remission of fees under clause (c) of Rule 95 of the Land Revenue Rules, is under consideration.

SUBJECT No. 64.

Exemption of share-holders
from payment of fees for
entry in the Special Notice
Register.

Messrs. M. Venkataramaiya,
D. Chowdaiya and C. Venka-
ramaiya, Channarayapatna
Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Owing to the discontinuance of joint *pattas*, lands, the assessment on which a share-holder has paid, will be liable to sale if there is a misunderstanding between the *khatedar* and the share-holder. The share-holder has to pay a fee, if he desires to have his name entered in the Special Notice Register. Such fees may be excused for entries in the Special Notice Register